

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

EMMANUEL CABALLERO,

Plaintiff

v.

ROMEO ARANAS,

Defendants

Case No.: 3:19-cv-00079-MMD-WGC

Order

Re: ECF No. 220

Before the court is Defendants' motion for leave to file Exhibit A under seal in support of Defendants' reply in support of Defendants' motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 220.) Exhibit A contains one of Plaintiff's dental records.

"Historically, courts have recognized a general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "Throughout our history, the open courtroom has been a fundamental feature of the American judicial system. Basic principles have emerged to guide judicial discretion respecting public access to judicial proceedings. These principles apply as well to the determination of whether to permit access to information contained in court documents because court records often provide important, sometimes the only, bases or explanations for a court's decision." *Oliner v. Kontrabecki*, 745 F.3d 1024, 1025 (9th Cir. 2014) (quoting *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. F.T.C.*, 710 F.2d 1165, 1177 (6th Cir. 1983)).

Documents that have been traditionally kept secret, including grand jury transcripts and warrant materials in a pre-indictment investigation, come within an exception to the general right of public access. *See Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178. Otherwise, "a strong presumption in favor of

1 access is the starting point." *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "The
2 presumption of access is 'based on the need for federal courts, although independent—indeed,
3 particularly because they are independent—to have a measure of accountability and for the
4 public to have confidence in the administration of justice.'" *Center for Auto Safety v. Chrysler*
5 *Group, LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096 (9th Cir. 2016), *cert. denied*, 137 S.Ct. 38 (Oct. 3, 2016)
6 (quoting *United States v. Amodeo (Amodeo II)*, 71 F.3d 1044, 1048 (2nd Cir. 1995); *Valley*
7 *Broad Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct., D. Nev.*, 798 F.2d 1289, 1294 (9th Cir. 1986)).

8 There are two possible standards a party must address when it seeks to file a document
9 under seal: the compelling reasons standard or the good cause standard. *Center for Auto Safety*,
10 809 F.3d at 1096-97. Under the compelling reasons standard, "a court may seal records only
11 when it finds 'a compelling reason and articulate[s] the factual basis for its ruling, without
12 relying on hypothesis or conjecture.'" *Id.* (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179). The court must
13 "'conscientiously balance[] the competing interests of the public and the party who seeks to keep
14 certain judicial records secret.'" *Id.* "What constitutes a 'compelling reason' is 'best left to the
15 sound discretion of the trial court.'" *Id.* (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Comm., Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 599
16 (1978)). "Examples include when a court record might be used to 'gratify private spite or
17 promote public scandal,' to circulate 'libelous' statements, or 'as sources of business information
18 that might harm a litigant's competitive standing.'" *Id.*

19 The good cause standard, on the other hand, is the exception to public access that has
20 been typically applied to "sealed materials attached to a discovery motion unrelated to the merits
21 of the case." *Id.* (citation omitted). "The 'good cause language comes from Rule 26(c)(1), which
22 governs the issuance of protective orders in the discovery process: The court may, for good
23

1 cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or
2 undue burden or expense.'" *Id.*

3 The Ninth Circuit has clarified that the key in determining which standard to apply is
4 whether the documents proposed for sealing accompany a motion that is "more than tangentially
5 related to the merits of a case." *Center for Auto Safety*, 809 F.3d at 1101. If that is the case, the
6 compelling reasons standard is applied. If not, the good cause standard is applied.

7 Here, Defendants seek to file an exhibit under seal in connection with their reply in
8 support of their motion for summary judgment, which is unquestionably "more than tangentially
9 related to the merits of a case." Therefore, the compelling reasons standard applies.

10 This court, and others within the Ninth Circuit, have recognized that the need to protect
11 medical privacy qualifies as a "compelling reason" for sealing records. *See, e.g., San Ramon*
12 *Regional Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Principal Life Ins. Co.*, 2011 WL89931, at *n.1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 10,
13 2011); *Abbey v. Hawaii Employers Mut. Ins. Co.*, 2010 WL4715793, at * 1-2 (D. HI. Nov. 15,
14 2010); *G. v. Hawaii*, 2010 WL 267483, at *1-2 (D.HI. June 25, 2010); *Wilkins v. Ahern*, 2010
15 WL3755654 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2010); *Lombardi v. TriWest Healthcare Alliance Corp.*, 2009
16 WL 1212170, at * 1 (D.Ariz. May 4, 2009). This is because a person's medical records contain
17 sensitive and private information about their health. While a plaintiff puts certain aspects of his
18 medical condition at issue when he files an action alleging deliberate indifference to a serious
19 medical need under the Eighth Amendment, that does not mean that the entirety of his medical
20 records filed in connection with a motion (which frequently contain records that pertain to
21 unrelated medical information) need be unnecessarily broadcast to the public. In other words, the
22 plaintiff's interest in keeping his sensitive health information confidential outweighs the public's
23 need for direct access to the medical records.

1 Here, the referenced exhibits contain Plaintiff's dental information and records. Balancing
2 the need for the public's access to this information against the need to maintain the
3 confidentiality of Plaintiff's dental records weighs in favor of sealing this exhibit. Therefore,
4 Defendants' motion (ECF No. 220) is **GRANTED**.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: November 4, 2021

7 

8 William G. Cobb
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23